## COMMUNITIES SPEAK OUT ON LAND REFORM IN ZIMBABWE

## By Fannie Mutepfa

Two Provincial Workshops were convened by ZERO in partnership with FES and HIVOS between the start of the farm invasions/occupations (February 2000) and the parliamentary elections (June 2000) in Mashonaland East, West and Central Provinces and Matabeleland North and South provinces.

The workshops solicited community views on the land reform process with special emphasis on the farm invasions. The original invitation sought the participation of six representatives from each district in a province comprising: two traditional leaders, the Chief Executive Officer for each Rural District Council, and three ordinary community representatives, of which two had to be women.

Of particular significance was the attendance of a number of war veterans at the Mashonaland Provinces workshop and the apparent unity in terms of the emotions about land and feelings on the farm invasions.

It is interesting to note how different the views of communities in the two provinces were. The following table is the summary of the key issues and the views raised at the two workshops. The tabular presentation allows for a comparative analysis of community views from the two provinces.

Issue	Views : Mashonaland	Views: Matabeleland
Definition of land	Lack of political will to resettle	<ul> <li>Unfair land distribution</li> </ul>
problem	people: 20 years of promise and no	<ul> <li>Land policy unclear</li> </ul>
	delivery	<ul> <li>Unplanned resettlement</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Slow process of planning</li> </ul>	Political bias: government should
	<ul> <li>Increasing poverty due to ESAP</li> </ul>	represent all people
	<ul> <li>Poor use of available land</li> </ul>	
	Congestion in communal areas	
On the land reform	<ul> <li>The pace has been too slow</li> </ul>	Only a select few have been resettled
process in general	<ul> <li>The process has not been clearly</li> </ul>	The process is not transparent
	explained and transparent	
	Previous process settled people	Every Zimbabwean is eligible for
Settler/beneficiary	without farming knowledge	resettlement but priority should be given
Selection and land	<ul> <li>Previous process gave too much</li> </ul>	to the land less
use planning	power to the resettlement officer	Settler selection must be transparent
	There is need for land use plans	Traditional Leadership should play active
	before people are settled	role in resettlement
	<ul> <li>Planning should involve all relevant</li> </ul>	
	stakeholders including, govt.	
	agencies, RDCs, NGOS,	
	Professionals etc	

Issue	Views : Mashonaland	Views: Matabeleland
Land Acquisition and	Land should not be bought back	Need for dialogue and understanding
distribution	Acquisition must involve all	among all stakeholders
	important players	All under-used land should be
	<ul> <li>There should be speedy acquisition</li> </ul>	repossessed
	The farm sizes recommended by	Only those with expertise should be
	Agritex, according to each natural	settled on Model A2 (small holder
	region should be adopted	commercial scheme)
	Communal land should remain state	Adequate social infrastructure must be
	owned with 99 year leases being	provided
	granted	People must be empowered through
	Communal title deeds may be	provision of skills and equipment.
	granted in the communal areas	

Issue	Views : Mashonaland	Views: Matabeleland
On the farm	There is no such thing as "farm	War veterans should stop taking the law
Invasions	invasion" we are merely	into their hands
	repossessing our land. "We should	<ul> <li>Lawlessness should not be tolerated,</li> </ul>
	only talk about land repossessions	rule of law must prevail
	not "Farm Invasions"	<ul> <li>Those in power should separate party</li> </ul>
	The invasions are the only thing that	politics from land distribution
	will show government that we are	
	serious about the need for more	
	land.	
	The villagers and the war veterans	
	are in it together	
	<ul> <li>People should invade farms that are</li> </ul>	
	near their communal land and	
	should not deprive others the	
	opportunity to be settled by	
	invading farms outside their	
	districts	
	Where will those people who reside	
	far away from commercial farms	
	e.g. those from Kariba be resettled if	
	the invasion are staking a claim on	
	land.	

Issue	Views : Mashonaland	Views: Matabeleland
Way forward	There is an urgent need to	Need for bottom up approach to the
	decongest communal areas	process
	<ul> <li>Need for proper planning of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intended beneficiaries must be trained</li> </ul>
	resettlement schemes	before resettlement
	<ul> <li>Land reform must be done in an</li> </ul>	Current production levels should be
	orderly and transparent manner	maintained or improved
	Adequate financial resources must	<ul> <li>Legal approach to land acquisition is</li> </ul>
	be made available for infrastructure	best option.
	provision in resettlement areas	
	There should be adequate	
	stakeholder consultation in the land	
	resettlement process.	

The table clearly shows the political hand at play, and indicates the extensive knowledge that communities have which unfortunately has not often been used to their benefit. In conclusion it is interesting to note the following:

- Whilst the participants from Mashonaland criticized the slow pace of land reform they were adamant that the invasions were a necessary revolutionary step that would show the government and donors the seriousness of the land problem in Zimbabwe.
- Despite the Mashonaland Provincial participants coming from different districts, their resolve in getting land was unquestionable since land occupies a central place in the livelihoods of communities

- The power that the war veterans yield over communities was also evidence by their domination of proceedings at the Mashonaland Provincial workshop. One would ask whether the mood and deliberations at the workshop would have changed if the workshop had taken place after the elections, or if only ordinary community representatives had attended.
- The participants from Matebeleland were more objective and had a "sober" view of the whole process; this may be attributed to the traditional political division which has always seen the Ndebele people not supporting ZANU (PF) government as they feel it does not fully represent their needs.
- The major difference of opinions between the participants from Mashonaland and those from Matabeleland was on the farm invasions. The participants from Matabeleland regarded the farm invasions as unlawful and giving power to those who do not have the full mandate nationally.
- It is interesting however that there were some areas of convergence especially around the definition of the land problem, land acquisition and settlement and the way forward.
- The communities agreed that land in communal areas should not be held under freehold title and the traditional leadership should play a critical role in land resettlement